

ELEMENTARY CHAINS AND COMPACT SPACES WITH A SMALL DIAGONAL

ALAN DOW[†] AND KLAAS PIETER HART

ABSTRACT. It is a well known open problem if, in **ZFC**, each compact space with a small diagonal is metrizable. We explore properties of compact spaces with a small diagonal using elementary chains of submodels. We prove that ccc subspaces of such spaces have countable π -weight. We generalize a result of Gruenhage about spaces which are metrizably fibered. Finally we discover that if there is a Luzin set of reals, then every compact space with a small diagonal will have many points of countable character.

INTRODUCTION

In [5] Hušek defined a space, X , to have an ω_1 -*accessible diagonal* if there is a ω_1 -sequence $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ in X^2 that converges to the diagonal $\Delta(X)$ in the sense that every neighbourhood of the diagonal contains a tail of the sequence. Hušek also mentions that Van Douwen referred to spaces that do *not* have an ω_1 -accessible diagonal as *having a small diagonal*. The latter has gained more currency since Zhou's [10] and the definition has been cast in a more positive form: X has a small diagonal if every uncountable subset of X^2 that is disjoint from the diagonal has an uncountable subset whose closure is disjoint from the diagonal. For brevity's sake we will say that a space is csD if it is a compact Hausdorff space with a small Diagonal.

There are a number of very interesting results known for csD spaces and we recommend [4] as an excellent reference. In particular, it is known that csD spaces have countable tightness ([6]) and that it follows from **CH** that csD spaces are metrizable ([5, 6]). One of our main results is that ccc subspaces of a csD space have countable π -weight. In [9] Tkachuk describes a space as *metrizably fibered* if there is a continuous map onto a metric space with the property that each point preimage (fiber) is also metrizable. Let us say that a space is *weight κ fibered* if the obvious generalization is satisfied: there is a map onto a space with weight at most κ so that each fiber also has weight at most κ . In [4] Gruenhage showed that a metrizable fibered csD space is metrizable. We will show that this is also true for weight ω_1 fibered spaces.

Though the main question on csD spaces is whether they are metrizable it is at present not even known if they must have points of countable character. We uncover the surprising connection that if there is a Luzin set of reals, then each csD space does have points of countable character.

Date: Thursday 08-09-2011 at 16:36:16 (cest).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A20, 54G20, 54A35, 03E35, 54G12.

Key words and phrases. small diagonal, metrizable, elementary submodels.

[†]Research of the first author was supported by NSF grant No. NSF-DMS-0901168.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We begin by citing a convenient characterization of csD spaces obtained by Gruenhage in [4]. We say that a sequence $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ of pairs is ω_1 -separated if there is an uncountable subset A of ω_1 such that $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ and $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ have disjoint closures. Gruenhage showed that a compact space is csD if and only if every uncountable sequence of pairs is ω_1 -separated.

1.1. Elementary sequences. The key to uncovering why a non-metric compact space might not be csD is to select the right ω_1 -sequence of pairs. We will explore a method of using chains of countable elementary submodels for this purpose.

For a cardinal θ we let $H(\theta)$ denote the collection of all sets whose transitive closure has cardinality less than θ (see [7, Chapter IV]). An ω_1 -sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ of countable elementary substructures of $H(\theta)$ that satisfies $\langle M_\beta : \beta \leq \alpha \rangle \in M_{\alpha+1}$ for all α and $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$ for all limit α will simply be called an *elementary sequence*.

It will be convenient to assume that the spaces considered in this paper are subspaces of $[0, 1]^\kappa$ for some suitable cardinal κ (usually the weight of the space under consideration). By a basic open subset of $[0, 1]^\kappa$ we mean a set that is a product $\prod_{\xi \in \kappa} I_\xi$ where each I_ξ is a relatively open subinterval of $[0, 1]$ with rational endpoints such that the set of $\xi \in \kappa$ for which $I_\xi \neq [0, 1]$ — its *support* — is finite. When a space X is a subspace of $[0, 1]^\kappa$ we will use the intersections of these basic open sets with X as a base for X .

Elementary sequences for a space. Let X be a compact space and assume that X is a subset of $[0, 1]^\kappa$ where κ is the weight of X . An *elementary sequence for X* will be an elementary sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ in $H((2^\kappa)^+)$ such that $X \in M_0$.

For each $\alpha \in \omega_1$ we associate two spaces with X and M_α : the first is the closure of $X \cap M_\alpha$, which we denote X_α . The second is the image of X under the projection pr_{M_α} from $[0, 1]^\kappa$ onto $[0, 1]^{M_\alpha \cap \kappa}$: we write $X_{M_\alpha} = \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[X]$.

For each $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in \omega_1$ we often denote $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(x)$ by $x \upharpoonright M_\alpha$ and we write $[x \upharpoonright M_\alpha] = \{y \in X : \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(y) = \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(x)\}$.

We will apply Gruenhage's criterion to sequences of pairs associated to elementary sequences.

Definition 1.1. An elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs for a space X is a sequence $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ of pairs of points from X for which there is some elementary sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ for X so that $\{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\} \in M_{\alpha+1}$, $x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha$ and $x_\alpha \upharpoonright M_\alpha = y_\alpha \upharpoonright M_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in \omega_1$.

The (seemingly narrow) gap between metrizable and being csD in the class of compact spaces is revealed in the next two propositions.

Proposition 1.2. *A compact space is metrizable if and only if it has no elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs.*

It is somewhat easier to prove the contrapositive form: a compact space has uncountable weight iff it has an elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs.

Proposition 1.3. *A compact space X is not csD if and only if it has an elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs that is not ω_1 -separated.*

Proof. We will actually prove a stronger statement: each ω_1 -sequence of pairs that is not ω_1 -separated contains an elementary ω_1 -sequence. Suppose that $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ is an uncountable set of pairs of X that is not ω_1 -separated. Choose any elementary sequence $\langle M_\gamma : \gamma \in \omega_1 \rangle$ for X such that $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ is an element of M_0 . For each $\gamma \in \omega_1$, choose α_γ (if possible) so that $x_{\alpha_\gamma} \upharpoonright M_\gamma = y_{\alpha_\gamma} \upharpoonright M_\gamma$. By elementarity, if such an α_γ exists, it may be chosen in $M_{\gamma+1}$. Then $\langle \langle x_{\alpha_\gamma}, y_{\alpha_\gamma} \rangle : \gamma \in \omega_1 \rangle$ is the desired elementary ω_1 -sequence that is not ω_1 -separated.

To finish the proof we show that it is always possible to choose an α_γ . If not then there will be a γ such that the set A of α for which $x_\alpha \upharpoonright M_\gamma \neq y_\alpha \upharpoonright M_\gamma$ is co-countable. For each $\alpha \in A$, there are basic open sets U_α and W_α with disjoint closures and supports in M_γ such that $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ and $y_\alpha \in W_\alpha$. As U_α and W_α are determined by finite subsets of M_γ they belong to M_γ . As M_γ is countable one pair $\langle U, W \rangle$ would be chosen uncountably often, say for $\alpha \in B$. The latter set would witness that our sequence is ω_1 -separated. $\square$

2. APPLICATIONS OF ELEMENTARY ω_1 -SEQUENCES

There will be occasions when one countable elementary substructure will already do but in our first result a fair amount of care will go into the construction of an elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs.

2.1. Cellularity and π -weight. We need the notions of π -bases and local π -bases. A π -base for a space is a collection of non-empty open sets such that each non-empty open set contains one of them. A local π -base for a space at a point is a collection of non-empty open sets such that each neighbourhood of the point contains one of them. Thus one obtains the notions of π -weight and π -character: minimum cardinalities of defining families.

A continuous surjection is said to be irreducible if no proper closed subset of the domain maps onto the range or, dually, the image of a set with non-empty interior has non-empty interior as well. The latter formulation easily implies that π -weight is invariant under irreducible surjections, in both directions.

An easy application of Zorn's Lemma will show that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous surjection between compact Hausdorff spaces one can find a closed subset Z of X such that $f \upharpoonright Z : Z \rightarrow Y$ is irreducible and surjective.

Lemma 2.1. *If a compact space X has a ccc subspace with uncountable π -weight, then it has a compact ccc subspace with π -weight equal to ω_1 .*

Proof. Let Y be a ccc subspace that does not have a countable π -base. Let K be the closure of Y ; then K is compact, ccc, and does not have a countable π -base either. Let $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ be an elementary sequence for K and let $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_1} M_\alpha$. For each $\alpha \in \omega_1$ there is, by elementarity, a basic open subset U_α of K that is an element of $M_{\alpha+1}$ and does not contain any non-empty open subset of K that is a member of M_α . It follows that $K_M = \text{pr}_M[K]$ has π -weight ω_1 since it has weight at most ω_1 and does not have countable π -weight.

Since K_M is a continuous image of K , it is ccc. Now choose any compact $Z \subset K$ such that $\text{pr}_M \upharpoonright Z : Z \rightarrow K_M$ is irreducible and onto. Since the map is irreducible, Z is ccc and has the same π -weight (namely ω_1) as K_M . $\square$

The first main result began as an attempt to see if there was a more direct proof, perhaps uncovering more about the nature of csD spaces, that a csD space has countable tightness.

Theorem 2.2. *In a csD space every ccc subspace has countable π -weight.*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Since a closed subspace of a csD space will also be csD, we may apply Lemma 2.1 and assume that we have a compact space X that is csD and ccc, and has π -weight equal to ω_1 .

Let $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ be an elementary sequence for X and let $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_1} M_\alpha$. It follows that the basic open subsets of X that are members of M form a π -base for X . We will define an elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs.

For each α we choose our pair $x_\alpha \neq y_\alpha \in M_{\alpha+1}$ so that $x_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha = y_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha$. We first choose a non-empty basic open set U_α from $M_{\alpha+1}$ whose closure does not contain any non-empty basic open set that is a member of M_α . We may do so since X is assumed to not have a countable π -base. Let x_α be any point in $U_\alpha \cap M_{\alpha+1}$. Next, we note that $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[X \setminus \text{cl } U_\alpha]$ is dense in X_{M_α} so that $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[X \setminus U_\alpha] = X_{M_\alpha}$. Therefore we can take a closed subset Z_α of X , disjoint from U_α , such that pr_{M_α} maps Z_α irreducibly onto X_{M_α} ; by elementarity, there is such a Z_α in $M_{\alpha+1}$.

Claim. There is a point $y_\alpha \in Z_\alpha$ such that for each neighborhood W of y_α , the set $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[X_{M_\alpha} \setminus \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W]]$ is not dense in a neighborhood of x_α — in dual form: x_α belongs to the closure of the interior of $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W]]$ for every neighbourhood of y_α . By elementarity, such a point y_α can be chosen to be a member of $M_{\alpha+1}$; it is immediate that $y_\alpha \neq x_\alpha$ and $x_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha = y_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha$.

Proof: We prove the dual form: if not then there is a cover of Z_α by basic open sets W for which $x_\alpha \notin \text{cl int pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W]]$. Take a finite subcover $\{W_1, \dots, W_n\}$; then $X_{M_\alpha} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W_i]$ and so $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W_i]]$. Now the union of the boundaries of these sets is nowhere dense, so that in fact $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl int pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W_i]]$, a contradiction as x_α was assumed not to belong to that union.

Now we show that the elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ is not ω_1 -separated. Suppose that U and W are disjoint open subsets of X that have disjoint closures, and that there is an uncountable subset A of ω_1 such that $x_\alpha \in U$ and $y_\alpha \in W$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ denote the families of basic open subsets of X that are contained in U and W respectively. Since X is ccc and the family of basic open sets that are members of M forms a π -base for X there is a $\delta < \omega_1$ such that the unions of $\mathcal{U}_\delta = M_\delta \cap \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{W}_\delta = M_\delta \cap \mathcal{W}$ are dense in U and W respectively. Note that each member, O , of $\mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{W}$ has its support in M_δ so that it satisfies $O = \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[O]]$.

Let $\alpha \in A$ be larger than δ . By construction each member of $\mathcal{U}_\delta$ is disjoint from $\text{cl } W$ and because its support is in M_α it is also disjoint from $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W]]$. It follows that $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_\delta$ is contained in $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}^{-1}[X_{M_\alpha} \setminus \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}[\text{cl } W]]$. Since $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is dense in U , this contradicts the conditions in Claim 1. $\square$

We draw attention to the fact that it follows from Theorem 2.2 that a csD space will not map onto $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$, and therefore, by Šapirovskiĭ's famous result from [8] that it will have (many) points of countable π -character.

2.2. Weight ω_1 fibered. We will see in Theorem 2.8 that a similar application of elementary sequences will imply that a csD space will have a property stronger than countable tightness. This approach was inspired by the Juhász-Szentmiklóssy proof from [6] where it is shown that if a compact space does not have countable tightness, then it will contain a converging (free) ω_1 -sequence (also making essential use of Šapírovskii's result). A csD space can not contain a (co-countably) converging ω_1 -sequence. We will need a strengthening of this result. A point x is commonly called *condensation point* of a set A if every neighborhood of x contains uncountably many points of A .

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be an uncountable subset of a csD space X whose set of condensation points is metrizable, then there is a co-countable subset B of A with a metrizable closure.*

Proof. Let $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ be an elementary sequence for X such that $A \in M_0$ and put $M = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} M_\alpha$. Let K denote the (closed) set of condensation points of A ; it is also a member of M_0 . Since K is compact metrizable and a member of M_0 , it follows that $\text{pr}_{M_0} \restriction K$ is one-to-one.

We prove by contradiction that $A \setminus K$ is countable. If $A \setminus K$ is uncountable then we may choose for each α a pair of points $\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle$ such that $x_\alpha \in A \setminus K$, $y_\alpha \in K$ and $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(x_\alpha) = \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(y_\alpha)$.

Let J be any uncountable subset of ω_1 and let $y \in K$ be a condensation point of $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$. We show that y also belongs to the closure of $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$.

Let U be a basic open neighbourhood of y that is in M and take β such that the support of U is contained in M_β . There are uncountably many α in $J \setminus \beta$ for which $x_\alpha \in U$ and for these α we have $y_\alpha \restriction \beta = x_\alpha \restriction \beta$ and hence also $y_\alpha \in U$. It follows that $y \restriction M$ is in the closure of $\{y_\alpha \restriction M : \alpha \in J\}$ and hence, because pr_M is one-to-one on K that y is in the closure of $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$. $\square$

We are now ready to prove our result about weight ω_1 fibered spaces. Remember from the introduction that X is weight ω_1 fibered if there is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that Y and every fiber $f^{-1}(y)$ have weight at most ω_1 .

Theorem 2.4. *Each csD space that is weight ω_1 fibered is metrizable.*

Proof. Let X be a compact space that is weight ω_1 fibered but not metrizable. We will prove that X is not csD. Let $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ be an elementary sequence for X . As usual we are assuming that X is a subspace of $[0, 1]^\kappa$ for some cardinal $\kappa \in M_0$. Let M denote the union $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \omega_1} M_\alpha$ and we recall that $[x \restriction M]$ denotes the set $\{y \in X : y \restriction M = x \restriction M\}$. Since X is weight ω_1 fibered, this will be *witnessed* by the elementary submodel M_0 ; thus it is routine to verify that, for each $x \in X$, the set $[x \restriction M]$ has weight at most ω_1 . By Hušek's result, we may assume that each set $[x \restriction M]$ is metrizable. On the other hand, and also by Hušek's theorem, we may also assume that the weight of X is greater than ω_1 , so we may fix an $x \in X$ such that $[x \restriction M] \neq \{x\}$. We complete the proof by establishing two lemmas of independent interest. If $[x \restriction M]$ is not a G_δ -set, then Lemma 2.5 will complete the proof. On the other hand if $[x \restriction M]$ is a G_δ -set, then there will be some $\delta < \omega_1$ such that $[x \restriction M] = [x \restriction M_\delta]$ is metrizable and not a singleton. In this case Lemma 2.6 will complete the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *If X is a space for which there is an elementary chain $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ for X and a point x in X such that $[x \restriction M]$ is metrizable but not a G_δ -set, then X is not csD.*

Proof. Since we are assuming that $[x \restriction M]$ is not a G_δ -set there is no $\delta \in \omega_1$ such that $[x \restriction M] = [x \restriction M_\delta]$. For each $\alpha \in \omega_1$ choose $x_\alpha \in [x \restriction M_\alpha] \setminus [x \restriction M]$. For each α , there is a β_α such that $x_\alpha \notin [x \restriction M_{\beta_\alpha}]$, hence the set $A = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ is uncountable. The set of condensation points of A is contained in $[x \restriction M]$; and so, by Proposition 2.3, X is not csD. $\square$

Lemma 2.6. *If for some $x \in X$, there is an elementary chain $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ such that $[x \restriction M]$ is metrizable but not a singleton, then X is not csD.*

Proof. Let $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ be an elementary chain and suppose that $[x \restriction M]$ is metrizable but not a singleton. By Lemma 2.5, we may assume that $[x \restriction M]$ is a G_δ -set. That is, we may assume that there is a $\delta \in \omega_1$ such that $[x \restriction M] = [x \restriction M_\delta]$. So $[x \restriction M_\delta]$ is metrizable and equal to $[x \restriction M_\alpha]$ for all $\alpha \geq \delta$. We apply elementarity to this statement to choose an elementary ω_1 -sequence that will not be ω_1 -separated. For $\alpha \geq \delta$, we make the observation that $M_{\alpha+1}$ is a model of the statement

$$(\exists x \in X)([x \restriction M_\alpha] = [x \restriction M_\delta] \text{ is metrizable and not equal to } \{x\}).$$

By elementarity, we can take such a point $x_\alpha \in X \cap M_{\alpha+1}$ and take $y_\alpha \in [x_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha] \cap M_{\alpha+1}$ witnessing that $[x_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha] \neq \{x_\alpha\}$. Since $[x_\alpha \restriction M_\alpha]$ is a compact metrizable set which is a member of $M_{\alpha+1}$, the basic open sets in $M_{\alpha+1}$ will contain a base for it.

The remainder of the proof follows that of Gruenhage for the metrizable fibered case (see [4]), because the subspace $\bigcup \{[x_\alpha \restriction M_\delta] : \alpha \in \omega_1 \setminus \delta\}$ is metrizable fibered over X_δ . Indeed, it follows from the construction that $x_\alpha \restriction M_\delta \neq x_\beta \restriction M_\delta$ for $\delta < \alpha < \beta$. Let J be any uncountable subset of ω_1 . Working in the space X_δ , there is an $\alpha \in J$ such that $\text{pr}_{M_\delta}(x_\alpha)$ is a condensation point of the set $\{\text{pr}_{M_\delta}(x_\beta) : \beta \in J\}$. Since pr_{M_δ} is a closed map and $[x_\alpha \restriction M_\delta]$ is compact, there is a point $z \in [x_\alpha \restriction M_\delta]$ that is a condensation point of $\{x_\beta : \beta \in J \setminus \alpha\}$. Although z itself may not be a member of $M_{\alpha+1}$, the basic open sets from $M_{\alpha+1}$ contain a local base at z . In addition, for each basic open neighborhood U of z from $M_{\alpha+1}$ and for each $\beta \in J \setminus \alpha + 1$ we have $x_\beta \in U$ if and only if $y_\beta \in U$. It therefore follows that z is also a condensation point of $\{y_\beta : \beta \in J\}$. This shows that $\langle \langle x_\beta, y_\beta \rangle : \beta \in \omega_1 \rangle$ is not ω_1 -separated; and completes the proof that X is not csD. $\square$

2.3. Luzin sets. A set of reals is a Luzin set if every uncountable subset is dense in some interval. Luzin sets exist if the Continuum Hypothesis holds but Martin's Axiom plus the negation of Continuum Hypothesis implies they do not exist. The existence of Luzin sets has some influence on the structure of csD spaces.

Theorem 2.7. *If there is a Luzin set, then every csD space contains points that are G_δ -sets.*

Proof. Let X be a csD space. If X has any isolated points then there is nothing to prove, so assume that it does not. Let M be a countable elementary substructure of $H(\theta)$ that contains X . Then $\text{pr}_M[X]$ is a compact metrizable space with no isolated points. If there is any point $x \in X$ such that $[x \restriction M] = \{x\}$ then we are done as well. Let Y be a dense subset of $\text{pr}_M[X]$ that is homeomorphic to the space of irrational numbers, and let $L \subset Y$ be a dense Luzin set of cardinality ω_1 . For

each $z \in L$ choose distinct $x_z, y_z \in X$ so that $\text{pr}_M(x_z) = \text{pr}_M(y_z) = z$. We show that $\langle \langle x_z, y_z \rangle : z \in L \rangle$ is not ω_1 -separated. Let A be an uncountable subset of L ; we show that the closures of $\{x_z : z \in A\}$ and $\{y_z : z \in A\}$ intersect.

Since L is Luzin, there is a basic open set W in M such that A contains a dense subset of $\text{pr}_M[W]$. Since X has a dense set of points of countable π -character we may choose $x \in W \cap M$ so that it has a countable local π -base $\mathcal{B}$ consisting of basic open sets from M that are contained in W . However, since each member of $\mathcal{B}$ belongs to M and $A \cap W$ is dense in W each member of $\mathcal{B}$ contains x_z and y_z for some z ; this implies that x is in the closure of both $\{x_z : z \in A\}$ and $\{y_z : z \in A\}$. $\square$

2.4. Local π -bases and nets. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of nonempty closed sets is a local π -net at a point x if every neighborhood of x contains a member of $\mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a countable family of G_δ -sets and is a local π -net at x , then x has a countable local π -base, provided the ambient space is compact.

Theorem 2.8. *Let K be a compact G_{ω_1} -set in a csD space X . Then each countable local π -base in K expands to a countable local π -net in X consisting of G_δ -sets.*

Proof. For ease of exposition we will assume that X is zero-dimensional; the modifications for the general case are tedious but straightforward. Let $x \in K$ and let $\{b_n : n \in \omega\}$ be a family of relatively clopen subset of K such that each neighborhood of x contains one. For each n let $\mathcal{K}_n = \{K_\alpha^n : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ be a filter base of clopen sets such that $b_n = \bigcap \mathcal{K}_n$. Fix an ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω so that for each neighborhood U of x , the set $\{n : b_n \subset U\}$ is a member of $\mathcal{U}$. Fix an elementary ω_1 -sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ for X so that $x, K, \{\mathcal{K}_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ are in M_0 . For each $\alpha \in \omega_1$, assume that the family $\{Z(\alpha, n) : n \in \omega\}$ is not a local π -net at x where $Z(\alpha, n) = \bigcap \{K_\beta^n : \beta \in M_\alpha\}$. Let $U_\alpha \in M_{\alpha+1}$ be a clopen set containing x so that $Z(\alpha, n) \setminus U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \omega$. Choose $y_\alpha \in M_{\alpha+1}$ so that y_α is in the $\mathcal{U}$ -limit of the sequence $\langle Z(\alpha, n) \setminus U_\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle$. It follows that $\text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(y_\alpha) = \text{pr}_{M_\alpha}(x)$, and so $\langle \langle x, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ is an elementary ω_1 -sequence and we prove it is not ω_1 -separated by showing that $\langle y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ converges co-countably to x .

Let W be any neighborhood of x and let $U = \{n \in \omega : b_n \subset W\}$. For each $n \in U$ there is, by compactness some $\alpha_n < \omega_1$ so that $K_{\alpha_n}^n \subset W$. Let $\alpha \in \omega_1$ be larger than all α_n . It follows that $Z(\delta, n) \subset W$ for all $n \in U$ and all $\delta \geq \alpha$. Since y_δ is in the closure of $\bigcup \{Z(\delta, n) : n \in U\}$, we find that $\{y_\delta : \delta > \alpha\} \subset W$. $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *If A is an uncountable subset of a csD space, then A has a condensation point which has a countable local π -base in $\text{cl } A$.* $\square$

2.5. OCA and sequential compactness. In the paper [1] it is shown that the Proper Forcing Axiom (PFA) implies that all csD spaces are metrizable. We can use the results of this paper to give a shorter proof for sequentially compact csD spaces, and one that uses only a consequence of Todorćević's open coloring axiom (OCA). PFA implies that compact spaces with countable tightness are sequential, but we do not know if OCA (or ZFC!) implies that csD spaces are sequentially compact.

First we prove a strengthening of Gruenhage's result which shows how badly non-metrizably fibered a csD non-metrizable space would have to be.

Lemma 2.10. *If $\{M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ is an elementary chain for a non-metrizable csD space X , then there is a $\delta \in \omega_1$ such that the set of non-metrizable sets in $\{[x \restriction M_\delta] \cap X_0 : x \in X\}$ contains a perfect set.*

Proof. It is implicit in [5] that a non-metrizable csD space (of countable tightness) will contain a separable non-metrizable subspace. By elementarity M_0 will contain such a separable set, and so $X_0 = \text{cl}(X \cap M_0)$ will itself not be metrizable. Fix any elementary ω_1 -sequence of pairs $\langle \langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$ for the sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha \in \omega_1 \rangle$, but chosen so that $\{x_\alpha, y_\alpha\} \subset X_0$ for all α . Let A be an uncountable subset of ω_1 witnessing that the sequence is ω_1 -separated. Find a $\delta \in \omega_1$ so that for each basic open set U from M_δ the implication “if $U \cap \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ is uncountable, then $U \cap \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A \setminus M_\beta\}$ is infinite for all $\beta < \delta$ ” holds. Let $K \subset X_{M_\delta}$ be the projection of $\text{cl}\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A \setminus M_\delta\}$ by the map pr_{M_δ} . It follows from the choice of δ that K is a perfect set. In addition each point in K is a limit point of $\text{pr}_{M_\delta}[\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A \setminus M_\gamma\}]$ for all $\gamma \in \omega_1$.

We show that for each z in K the set $[z] = \text{pr}_{M_\delta}^{-1}(z)$ is not metrizable. We assume we have a z in K such that $[z]$ is metrizable and derive a contradiction. For each $x \in [z]$ the set $[x \upharpoonright M]$ is metrizable and a G_δ -set because it is a subset of $[z]$. By Lemma 2.6 it follows that $[x \upharpoonright M] = \{x\}$. Therefore, the mapping pr_M restricted to $[z]$ is a homeomorphism. Since $\text{pr}_M[[z]]$ is a compact metrizable subset of $[0, 1]^{\kappa \cap M}$ there is some $\alpha_z < \omega_1$ such that the basic open sets in M_{α_z} contain a base for $[z]$. Now choose a sequence $\langle \beta_n : n \in \omega \rangle$ in $A \setminus \alpha_z$ so that $\langle \text{pr}_{M_\delta}(x_{\beta_n}) : n \in \omega \rangle$ converges to z . There is a point $x \in [z]$ that is a cluster point of the sequence $\langle x_{\beta_n} : n \in \omega \rangle$. By thinning out we can assume that the latter sequence converges to x . Since the sequence $\langle \text{pr}_{M_{\alpha_z}}(x_{\beta_n}) : n \in \omega \rangle$ converges to $\text{pr}_{M_{\alpha_z}}(x)$ in X_{α_z} , the sequence $\langle \text{pr}_{M_{\alpha_z}}(y_{\beta_n}) : n \in \omega \rangle$ converges to $\text{pr}_{M_{\alpha_z}}(x)$ as well. Since $\langle y_{\beta_n} : n \in \omega \rangle$ accumulates at some point in $[z]$ and $\text{pr}_{M_{\alpha_z}}$ is one-to-one on $[z]$, it follows that x is a limit of $\langle y_{\beta_n} : n \in \omega \rangle$. $\square$

We will make use of the following application of OCA by Todorćević. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a family of disjoint pairs of subsets of a countable set S . Say that the family $\mathcal{X}$ is *countably separated* if there is a countable family $\mathcal{Y}$ of subsets of S such that for each pair $\langle a, b \rangle \in \mathcal{X}$, there is a $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $a \setminus Y$ and $b \cap Y$ are both finite — in case $\mathcal{Y}$ has just one element we say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *separated*. The following result is taken from [3, p. 145] and is attributed to Todorćević.

Proposition 2.11 (OCA). *If a family $\mathcal{X}$ of disjoint pairs of subsets of a countable set S is not countably separated, then there is an uncountable subcollection $\{\langle a_\alpha, b_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ with the property that whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$ in ω_1 the set $(a_\alpha \cap b_\beta) \cup (a_\beta \cap b_\alpha)$ is not empty. In particular, for all uncountable $A \subset \omega_1$ the collection $\{\langle a_\alpha, b_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in A\}$ is not separated.*

Theorem 2.12 (OCA). *If X is a sequentially compact csD space then X is metrizable.*

Proof. Assume that X is not metrizable, and apply Lemma 2.10. Let S denote the countable set $M_0 \cap X$. We work in the non-metrizable subspace X_0 . Let f denote the projection map from X_0 onto X_{M_0} , which is onto by elementarity. Let Z be a perfect set of points of X_0 with the property that $f^{-1}(z) = [z]$ is not metrizable for each $z \in Z$. Let $\{\mathcal{Y}_z : z \in Z\}$ be a listing of all countable sequences of subsets of S . For each $z \in Z$ we will show that there are disjoint subsets a_z and b_z of S that are not separated by $\mathcal{Y}_z$ and converge to distinct points of $[z]$.

Let $\{Y_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathcal{Y}_z$. For each $n \in \omega$, let $Y_n^0 = S \setminus Y_n$ and $Y_n^1 = Y_n$. For each function $h \in 2^\omega$, let $[z]_h$ denote the closed set

$\bigcap_{n \in \omega} \text{cl} \bigcap_{i < n} Y_i^{h(i)}$. Since $[z]$ is not metrizable, there must be some $h \in 2^\omega$ such that $[z]_h$ is not a singleton. Choose open sets U and W of X_0 , with disjoint closures, that both intersect $[z]_h$. Additionally, fix a descending neighborhood base $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ for the G_δ -set $[z]$. Each of the families $\{U \cap U_n \cap \bigcap_{i < n} Y_i^{h(i)} : n \in \omega\}$ and $\{W \cap U_n \cap \bigcap_{i < n} Y_i^{h(i)} : n \in \omega\}$ are descending sequences of infinite subsets of S . There are infinite sets a_z and b_z such that for each n , a_z is almost contained in $U \cap U_n \cap \bigcap_{i < n} Y_i^{h(i)}$ and b_z is almost contained in $W \cap U_n \cap \bigcap_{i < n} Y_i^{h(i)}$. Since we are assuming that X is sequentially compact, we may assume that a_z converges to a point $x_z \in [z] \cap \text{cl} U$ and b_z converges to a point $y_z \in [z] \cap \text{cl} W$.

Now we apply Lemma 2.11 to the family $\mathcal{X} = \{\langle a_z, b_z \rangle : z \in Z\}$. It is evident by the construction that this family is not countably separated. Therefore there is an uncountable subset Y of Z such that for all uncountable $A \subset Y$ the families $\{a_z : z \in A\}$ and $\{b_z : z \in A\}$ can not be separated.

It now follows easily that the ω_1 -sequence of pairs $\langle \langle x_z, y_z \rangle : z \in Y \rangle$ is not ω_1 -separated. To see this assume that U is an open set containing $\{x_z : z \in A\}$ for some uncountable A . Then $a_z \setminus U$ will be finite for each $z \in A$. By considering all possible uncountable subsets of A it follows that for all but countably many z in A the intersection $b_z \cap U$ is infinite and hence $y_z \in \text{cl} U$ for all these z . $\square$

3. QUESTIONS

Needless to say, the main open problem is to determine if every csD space is metrizable. However, here are some other questions which certainly seem difficult and interesting.

Question 3.1. Assume that X is an infinite csD space.

- (1) Is X sequentially compact? Does it even contain a non-trivial converging sequence? Does X contain a point of countable character?
- (2) If X is first countable, is it metrizable?
- (3) Does X contain a copy of the Cantor set?
- (4) Can the cardinality of X be greater than $2^{\aleph_0}$?
- (5) Is each countably compact subset closed?
- (6) Does Martin's Axiom imply that X is metrizable?
- (7) If X is hereditarily separable, is it metrizable? Gruenhage [4] has shown that hereditarily Lindelöf csD spaces are metrizable.

Another question is what happens if we modify the csD property by analogy with separated versus countably separated families of pairs.

Question 3.2. Define X to be σ -sD to mean that for each collection $\{\langle x_\alpha, y_\alpha \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$ of pairs from X , there is a countable cover $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω_1 , such that for each n the sets $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A_n\}$ and $\{y_\alpha : \alpha \in A_n\}$ have disjoint closures. If X is σ -sD, is it metrizable?

REFERENCES

- [1] Alan Dow and Oleg Pavlov, *More about spaces with a small diagonal*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **191** (2006), no. 1, 67–80, DOI 10.4064/fm191-1-5. MR2232197 (2007g:54032)
- [2] ———, *Perfect preimages and small diagonal*, Topology Proceedings **31** (2007), no. 1, 89–95. MR2363154 (2008i:54021)

- [3] Ilijas Farah, *Analytic quotients: theory of liftings for quotients over analytic ideals on the integers*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* **148** (2000), no. 702, xvi+177. MR1711328 (2001c:03076)
- [4] Gary Gruenhage, *Spaces having a small diagonal*, *Topology and its Applications* **122** (2002), no. 1-2, 183–200, DOI 10.1016/S0166-8641(01)00140-7. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Topology and its Applications (Yokohama, 1999)*. MR1919300 (2003g:54050)
- [5] M. Hušek, *Topological spaces without κ -accessible diagonal*, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* **18** (1977), no. 4, 777–788. MR0515009 (58 #24198)
- [6] I. Juhász and Z. Szentmiklóssy, *Convergent free sequences in compact spaces*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **116** (1992), no. 4, 1153–1160, DOI 10.2307/2159502. MR1137223 (93b:54024)
- [7] Kenneth Kunen, *Set theory*, *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*, vol. 102, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1980. An introduction to independence proofs. MR597342 (82f:03001)
- [8] B. È. Šapiroviskiĭ, *Mappings on Tihonov cubes*, *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **35** (1980), no. 3, 145–156, DOI 10.1070/RM1980v035n03ABEH001825. *International Topology Conference (Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1979)*. MR580628 (82d:54018)
- [9] Vladimir V. Tkachuk, *A glance at compact spaces which map “nicely” onto the metrizable ones*, *Topology Proceedings* **19** (1994), 321–334. MR1369767 (97a:54017)
- [10] Hao Xuan Zhou, *On the small diagonals*, *Topology and its Applications* **13** (1982), no. 3, 283–293, DOI 10.1016/0166-8641(82)90036-0. MR651510 (83f:54007)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNC-CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223-0001

E-mail address: adow@uncc.edu

URL: <http://www.math.uncc.edu/~adow>

FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, TU DELFT, POSTBUS 5031, 2600 GA DELFT, THE NETHERLANDS

E-mail address: k.p.hart@tudelft.nl

URL: <http://fa.its.tudelft.nl/~hart>